

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,869	07/22/2003	Theodore G. Duclos	99-0033/COA	7658	
29293	7590 10/12/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FREUDENBERG-NOK GENERAL PARTNERSHIP			KYLE, MI	KYLE, MICHAEL J	
LEGAL DEPARTMENT 47690 EAST ANCHOR COURT PLYMOUTH, MI 48170-2455			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3677		
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2000	DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/624,869	DUCLOS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	,	
Michael J. Kyle	3677		

	Michael J. Kyle	3677					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complianc time periods:	ing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ai no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext	6.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount	36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri	te extension fee ate extension fee				
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NO v);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			110 133003 101				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•	, ,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	•	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
		•					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that hindsight reconstruction was used in the rejection of the claims with the combination of Creavey and Udagawa. Applicant points out structural difference between Udagawa and the claimed invention, and notes that the stopper member in Udagawa is formed integrally with the carrier. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Creavey teaches all limitations of the claims except for the stopper member being formed independently of the carrier. Udagawa is cited for the teaching that a stopper may be formed either integrally, as shown in figure 6, or independently as shown in figure 7. This teaching establishes an equivalent between forming the stopper independent of, or integral with a carrier. One having ordinary skill in the art would recognize the equivalent nature of these arrangements based on the teachings of Udagawa.

Chuck Matric
Primary Examiner